



Self Determination Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda

Date: Thursday, January 21, 2021

Committee Time: 10:30 am – 12:00 pm

**Due to the Stay-in-Place Order and Under
Executive Order N-29-20,
This is an online meeting only.**

ZOOM Meeting

<https://zoom.us/j/93855458621?pwd=bGxDVXFiYW1BeUFiSURwanJnQnlFdz09>

Meeting ID: 938 5545 8621 Passcode: 821708

Call in number – (669)900-9128, User PIN: 938 5545 8621

If you need any accommodations, please contact David Grady,
david.grady@scdd.ca.gov

Committee Agenda

	Items	Responsible	Comments
1. 5 minutes	Introductions Review and approval of minutes	Executive Administrative Assistant	Voting Item
2. 20 minutes	Standing Reports / Updates: • Member / Applicants • Self-Determination Participation • FMS Vendor Update • Facilitator Update • Selectee Update • Mixer Update	• Zaldivar, Grady • Nugapitiya • FMS Providers • Fac. Ntwrk. • Sreedhar	
3. 15 minutes	SD Implementation Update	• NeuroNav	
4. 10 minutes	Self-Advocates in Self Determination	• Grady	
5. 15 minutes	11/19/20 Waiver Updated	• Huyen	
5. 20 minutes	Member and Community Comments and Questions		
6. 5 minutes	Adjourn Meeting, Next Meeting Date: 2/18/21		

This is a tele / video conference meeting only. There is no physical location being made available to the public. Per [EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20](#), teleconferencing restrictions are waived during the COVID-19 crisis. Committee members are not required to list their remote locations and members of the public may participate telephonically by the web links and phone numbers listed above.

**SAN ANDREAS REGIONAL CENTER
SELF DETERMINATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES
October 15, 2020 (Via Zoom)**

Members Present: Cherri Alcantara
David Forderer
Martha Johanson
Doug Pascover
Kishan Sreedhar
Kathy Tanner

Members Absent:

Staff: Lourdes Gonzalez
Arushie Nugapitiya
Rommel Sanchez
Jessica To

Community: Aaron Carruthers
Sonni Chartness
David Grady
Sabrina Kappe
Melissa Robinson (DDS)
Chris

Connie Boyar
Suzanne Francisco
Lori Luzader
Kavita Sreedhar
Bernardino Sunglao
Tran Huyen Tran

Mike Keeley
Oscar Ramirez
Molly Sullivan
Minerva Valdez

Breanne Burris
Melanie Gonzales
Nicole De Guzman
Taylor Phan
Will Sandford

The Self Determination Advisory Committee (SDAC) commenced at 10:34 a.m. presided by Ms. Martha Johanson Committee member followed by introductions.

1. Approval of Minutes

The committee approved the August Minutes.

M/S/C Moved to approve the September minutes with the word correction in the FMS section from *and to an*. (Francisco/Pascover) No further discussion. The ayes have it. Motion carries.

Member Present	Voted
Cherrie Alcantara	Abstain
David Forder	Aye
Suzanne Francisco	Aye
Martha Johanson	Aye
Lori Luzader	Aye

Doug Pascover	Aye
Kavita Sreedhar	Aye
Kishan Sreedhar	Aye
Bernardo Sunglao	Aye
Kathy Tanner	Aye
Tran Huyen Tran	Aye

2. SDAC Applicant and Membership Update

There are no new applicants to consider.

SCDD needs a representative from San Benito County

SARC needs a representative from San Benito County and Santa Cruz County

3. Self Determination Participation Update

- Completed Orientations - 96
- Individual budgets certified - 31
- FMS with POs completed -21
- FMS in process - 61
- Spending plans in progress – 19
- IPP completed and signed - 31
- Need orientation – 10
- Virtual orientations are done on a monthly basis if needed, one on one orientations are being done as well if a family needs it in English and Spanish.
- Withdrawn from the Program - 29

Ms. Johanson shared information from the Statewide SDAC meeting:

- They want to see a more user friendly Orientation:
 - o 58% have attended an orientation
 - o 13% have not attended an orientation
 - o 11% Didn't know of orientation
- Many did not know that the Regional Center can pay up to \$2,500

Questions Answered:

- Once the program opens to everyone each service coordinator will keep their caseload with Self Determination (SD) individuals, there will not be SD only service coordinators or managers.
- Future Orientations will be scheduled according to the Department's Directive

- SARC per say doesn't survey individuals that leave the program, but they do ask questions as to why, the common response is that it is a very complicated program.

4. Financial Management System (FMS) Vendor Update

Tran from Aveanna informed that they will begin weekly Town Hall Q&As on Fridays at 1:00 p.m.

Nicole from GT Independent informed that they will have a webinar on 10/27/20.

5. Independent Facilitator Update

Their last meeting went very well and they have scheduled another on 11/4/20, they have created a "Slack Group" that anyone can join.

6. Selectee (Individual and Families) Update

The next selectee mixer will be virtually on mid-November, it will be open to anyone in California. Technical support and navigating the process will be topics of discussion.

7. Self Determination Implementation Update

Ms. Kappe informed of the plans they have to implement the program:

- Family Education by creating modules
- Quarterly Q&As
- Marketing
- Build Independent Facilitator (IF) training materials
- Provide advance training modules
- Training for case management and service coordinators on a quarterly basis
- Marketing outreach will be done by testing channels and SDAC's input

Mr. Grady suggested that NeuroNav share reports and frameworks prior to the SDAC meeting.

Any families or staff that would like to share testimonials on Self Determination refer to Ms. Kappe.

8. SSDAC Additional Meetings – Aaron Carruthers

Mr. Carruthers Executive Director of the State Council on Developmental Disabilities (SCDD) joined the meeting to report that SARC's SDAC condition for

donating \$3,000.00 to them has been met and more than 50% of regional centers have agreed to donate the same amount. He said it takes resources to do more and support the SSDAC. They will now have a person dedicated to provide support for the 6 meetings next year. Mr. Carruthers presented the scope of work to the committee and discussed the next steps for the SSDAC. Meetings will begin in February of 2021.

9. Review of Voting Protocols

Mr. Grady shared a quick guide to motions and voting from "Robert's Rules of Order", so the committee can be more efficient.

Typically the motion making process progresses as follows:

A member of the group proposes a motion: "I move that..."

- Motions require that a second member of the group agrees to consider the proposal to ensure that the proposal is meaningful to more than one person.

A member of the group chooses to second: "I second" or "I second for discussion" if you want to be clear that you are not in support but want to entertain a conversation about the proposal.

- Without a second the motion will die.

Once the group has had a chance to speak in favor or against the motion, the Chairman will lead a vote.

Types of Motions

Main motion - A motion must be made and seconded to initiate discussion on an issue (a limited amount of discussion may be allowed at the discretion of the Chairman prior to a motion being made). Motions are projected onto a screen for all to see before being voted on.

Substitute motion - Used to propose an alternative action to the main motion. Up to one main and two substitute motions may be on the floor at one time. If a substitute motion passes, it does away with the prior motions. If it fails, the previous motion comes back up for consideration.

Friendly amendments - These are editorial changes that may be allowed if nobody objects. However, any member may object and deny such requests.

Call the question - A motion to end debate and vote on the motion at hand. If seconded and passed, the main motion is then voted on. If no second or fails, discussion continues. (The Chair can choose to end debate.)

Motion to table - Non-debatable. If seconded, discussion ends and must be voted on immediately. A subsequent motion to take from the table is required to reopen discussion.

Motion to reconsider - Can only be made by a member on the prevailing side of a previous vote. If seconded and passed, it rescinds the previous vote and brings the motion back for discussion.

Methods of Voting

- **Adopt by consensus:** If there is no opposition or only one or two opposed
- **Voice vote:** Ayes vs. nays
- **Show of hands:** Keep hands raised until Chair and staff have completed counting
- **Roll call vote:** Only used if requested by a voting member
- **Secret ballot:** Only used to elect Chair and Vice-Chair

10. Member and Community Comments

- The committee asked SARC to provide a timeline on the Self Determination process beginning from PCP planning to Certified Spending plan.
- Ms. Nugapitiya responded that SARC will work on a timeline, but that the process is different for each family and driven by the needs of the individual, so some families take a short time and others a very long time.
- Mr. Pascover explained that even a list of chronological steps would be helpful.
- Some service coordinators feel that working with fixed timelines will pressure families and create false expectations.
- SDAC understands that everyone is new to the process and they wish to support SARC but still would like to follow a process or generic timeline.

11. Adjournment:

There being no further discussion, the meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.